

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/823,353	04/13/2004	Phillip C. Watts	028058-000110US	4721	
20350 TOWNSEND	7590 04/19/201 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER			
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			MOWLA, GOLAM		
EIGHTH FLO SAN FRANCI	OR SCO, CA 94111-3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/19/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/823,353	WATTS, PHILLIP C.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GOLAM MOWLA	1795	

	GOLAM MOWLA	1795						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to orn the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no exert, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee happropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lianas with 27 CER 41 27 must be 4	Eladithin two manths	a of the date of					
 LThe Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
= 1,								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable ir submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-16 and 24-38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-22.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. 🖾 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3, NOTE: Added claims 32-38 requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to new matter issue of claim 27, Applicant argues that paragraph [0010] of the specification clearly states that in the embodiment shown in Figure 1, "[c]old water enters via number 9 and exits number 12." As can be seen in Figure 2, inlet 9 is connected to a network of channels enabling fluid to flow through all of the second thermal modules.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Figure 1 and 2 show two inlets (6 and 9) and therefore there can not be a common inlet.

With respect to new matter issue of claim 28, the specification clearly indicates that both fluids can be water. (Specification paragraph [0010]). As is well known, water is a liquid and therefore the specification has support for "liquid".

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Although the specification discloses the use of water as the fluid, the original specification fails to provide support that at the time of the invention applicant has possession to every possible kind of heat transfer fluid such as liquid metals, ammonia or methyl alcohol to name a few. See US 4011104 which lists liquid metals, ammonia or methyl alcohol as liquids that can be used as the heat transfer fluid.

On page 11 of Remarks, Applicant argues that DeBucks fails to disclose a plurality of second thermal modules having respective second block because the elements 16 are not blocks but are "tubes" made for example of spring steel and are "elastically deformable in the axial direction of the thermocouple element legs...." (DeBucs ool. 4 lines 62-73).

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. One accepted definition of "block" is "a solid piece of something" (http://define.com/block). Since the element 16 of DeBucks is made of solid spring steel material, it reads on instant second block.